Exhibit B

Г					
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK				
2	x UNITED STATES OF AMERICA				
3	18 CR 204 (NGG)				
4	versus				
5	KEITH RANIERE, et al, United States Courthouse Brooklyn, New York				
	April 4, 2019				
6	Defendants. 11:00 a. m.				
7					
8	TRANSCRIPT OF CRIMINAL CAUSE FOR STATUS CONFERENCE				
9	BEFORE THE HONORABLE NICHOLAS GARAUFIS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE				
10	APPEARANCES				
11	For the Government: RICHARD DONOGHUE				
12	United States Attorney Eastern District of New York				
	271 Cadman Plaza East				
13	Brooklyn, New York 11201 BY: MOIRA KIM PENZA, AUSA				
14	TANYA HAJJAR, AUSA MARK LESKO, AUSA				
15					
16	For K. Raniere: BRAFMAN & ASSOCIATES				
17	767 Third Avenue, 26th Floor New York, New York 10017				
	BY: MARC AGNIFILO, ESQ.				
18	TENY GERAGOS, ESQ.				
19	DEROHANNESIAN & DEROHANNESIAN 677 Broadway, Suite 707				
20	Albany, New York 12207				
21	BY: PAUL DEROHANNESIAN, II, ESQ. DANIELLE SMITH, ESQ.				
22	For C. Bronfman: GERAGOS & GERAGOS				
23	Historic Engine Company No. 28 644 South Figueroa Street				
24	Los Angeles, California 90071 BY: MARK GERAGOS, ESQ.				
25					

1	CON	NTINUED APPEARANCES		
2		AFETZ & NECHELES, LLP O East 40th Street, 48th Floor		
3	N	ew York, New York 10016 Y: KATHLEEN CASSIDY, ESQ.		
4				
5	8	OBRE & KIM, LLP 00 Third Avenue ew York, New York 10022		
6		Y: SEAN BUCKLEY, ESQ.		
7		HER TREMONTE, LLP O Broad Street, 23rd Floor		
8	N	ew York, New York 10004 Y: JUSTINE HARRIS, ESQ.		
9		AMANDA RAVICH, ESQ.		
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21	Reported by:			
22	LISA SCHMID, CCR, RMR			
23	OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER 225 Cadman Plaza East, Room N377 Procedure New York 11201			
24	Brooklyn, New York 1120			
25	Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography. Transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.			

1 MR. GERAGOS: The 17th works for us. 2 THE COURT: Okay. That's on the in limine motions. 3 What else do we have? 4 MR. AGNIFILO: We have a technical issue that I'll 5 let the Government raise about some discovery that they 6 provided, that we -- that we found and then have to give back, 7 but I'll let the Government brief that one to the Court. 8 MS. PENZA: So Your Honor, as you are aware, we had 9 had an issue regarding one of the discovery devices. When we 10 had initially produced the device, we did not know that there 11 were child pornography images on the device. We retracted all 12 of those. They -- we then -- there was --1.3 THE COURT: Were there images in connection with 14 this case on the device? 15 MS. PENZA: Yes, Your Honor. 16 We then -- we then reproduced the device. 17 eliminate the folder that had the child pornography; however, 18 there were additional carved deleted files of that -- those 19 same images, I believe the same ones, in other locations on 20 the drive. 21 The FBI is working right now to create a new version 2.2. of the drive that has removed those deleted images, which we 23 will provide hopefully today to the defendants and receive 24 from them back the original drives that we had. So it's the

second drive that we had given them.

The Government does want to raise the issue that we put in our -- in our response to the defendant's motion. In particular, there have now been two assertions by defense counsel regarding their ability to prepare on the predicate acts related to child pornography.

THE COURT: Yes.

MS. PENZA: And so, the Government is obviously concerned by those representations. I understand that this drive is something that they want to provide to their expert. And so given that, we — that concern is again raised, that they may need additional time to prepare their expert for those additional charges.

THE COURT: I see.

MS. PENZA: And so we want to make sure that we are proceeding to trial with the defendant and his counsel saying that they are, indeed, prepared to go forward on April 29th, contrary to what they had put in two previous motions.

THE COURT: All right. Let me hear from Mr. Agnifilo.

MR. AGNIFILO: Yes, Judge.

I don't think we ever said that we couldn't be ready. I think the phrase I used is we were going to move heaven and earth to be ready and we're still going to move heaven and earth to be ready.

We have a very productive dialogue with the

1.3

2.1

2.2.

Government around these matters. I think what the Government produced and then needed back was through mere inadvertence, and we caught it. We saw there was something on the drive that should not be in the public sphere.

We contacted the Government. We said, we have to give this back to you. We knew that would end up in some sort of a delay in getting the drive, which is an important piece of evidence in terms of these charges that were recently indicted.

And here's my request -- and I think the Government will work with us on this because they have been working with us on this -- to the extent that we can front-load whatever reports there might exist that relate to the alleged child pornography charges, that -- getting that that sooner rather than later would be very helpful to us.

And so we do have an expert. The expert's been down to the FBI. The Government was very responsive when I asked for dates. They accommodated our dates. Our expert went down. I expect they'll accommodate our other dates.

So as long as we're continuing to be as productive as we've been -- and I think that will continue -- I fully expect to be ready for those charges and all the charges on the trial date.

So, it's a lot of work. It's a lot of work being done at the last minute, but this is what happens in trials

1.3

2.1

2.2.

and that's what happening here. And the Government's been accommodating and responsive, and I'm sure we can get it done.

MS. PENZA: Your Honor, if I may, frankly, that doesn't -- that doesn't satisfy the Government. It doesn't sound like they're in a place to say right now.

And in terms of front-loading the information regarding child pornography, Mr. Agnifilo knows that the alleged victim of the child pornography charges goes throughout this case. I mean, there will be almost -- there's very little evidence in this case that does not relate to that victim.

And so, the Government is going to abide by the Court's deadline. We're going to produce everything. But given that, the Government is concerned that we are not hearing a clear statement from Mr. Agnifilo and Mr. Raniere, frankly, that they are ready to go.

And given that -- we understand that there are jurors coming in. We do understand that the landscape of this case has changed dramatically, and may continue to change over the coming days.

If that is the case, the Government does not anticipate that our trial will last as long as we have previously said to the Court, because we don't believe there will be -- there won't be six defense attorneys cross-examining witnesses, for example.

1.3

2.1

2.2.

And so given that, the Government, you know, the Government feels that there has not been a representation that they will be ready on April 29th, and the Government believes that it's important to have certainty right now.

THE COURT: Well, let me cut to the chase on this.

You know, while the Court is reserving judgment for the moment on the pending motions to dismiss and sever, I agree.

The Court -- the Court needs to know the answer to the following questions. And this is from each of the four defendants: Are you prepared for trial? Pardon me. Will you be prepared for trial on the second superseding indictment on April 29th, and if not, how much more time do you need to be fully prepared for trial?

I think that's a really essential consideration for the Court. The Court wants to honor its objective of going to trial April 29th, but not -- but not if I do not hear a declaration, in effect, from each of the defendants' counsel, on behalf of the defendants, that they're going to be prepared to go to trial on April 29th.

So, of course, we'll start with you, Mr. Agnifilo, because I agree with the Government, to the degree that there needs to be an affirmative statement that based on what's in the second superseding indictment, that you -- which is a recent indictment -- that you will still be ready to go to trial.

2.2

MR. AGNIFILO: I'll be ready to go to trial, and I mean, and I say that because I do need help from the Government. They have been giving me that help. I'm going to need to have my expert going to the FBI. They have been accommodating of that.

This little wrinkle with having to give the drive back is not perfectly timed, but we can get through that. I'm told that I'm going to get a new drive soon. Maybe today.

That would be wonderful.

But I'm going to make it work. I mean, I have an expert on retainer. He's working for us. He's given me an assurance that in his opinion, that he has been doing this for decades, he can get the work done that I need done by the 29th. So I expect to be ready for trial.

My request -- and maybe I wasn't as clear as I could have been. I know who the person is in the photographs.

That's not the point. I just believe that there's going to be some sort of FBI reports in regard to that part of the FBI investigation regarding the photographs, that if we could get sooner rather than later, that would be helpful to me, but I will be ready to go, representing Mr. Raniere on the Court's trial date.

THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Geragos?

MR. GERAGOS: Thank you, Your Honor. We will be ready to go on the 29th.

1.3

2.2

THE COURT: All right.

2.1

2.2.

MS. HARRIS: Yes, Your Honor.

You know in our March 22nd letter to the Court, we indicated in connection with our renewal of our motion to sever, enjoining the motion to sever Ms. Bronfman, that we did advise that we were in plea negotiations with the Government, and that the Government had indicated that given the pressure of trial preparation and the fairly abbreviated schedule that we have been on, that additional time would be beneficial to conclude those negotiations.

And we'll say, given the Court's denial of the motions that were made by counsel for Ms. Mack for an actual adjournment of the trial date, I think substantial progress has been made.

So I think that the Government's in a better position to speak to, you know, that particular issue than — we have, of course, been preparing for trial, but that's the only aspect of this that bears as to Ms. Russell.

THE COURT: Yes?

MR. BUCKLEY: Yes, Your Honor. Sean Buckley on behalf of Ms. Mack.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. BUCKLEY: As the Court is aware, we are the ones who did file a motion for the continuance, and we recognize that Your Honor has denied it. Our motion was to permit us to

engage in what have been and what continue to be fruitful discussions regarding potential resolution of this.

But other than that, we are similarly situated to $\mbox{Ms. Harris}$ and her client.

THE COURT: Well, as to Ms. Russel and Ms. Mack, it's the old story. You need to be able to walk and chew gum at the same time in this business.

And we have one defendant who's incarcerated, and so I look very carefully at that kind of request, and I also look at the difficulty of impaneling a jury, since we have already sent notices to 500 potential jurors that I'm going to be interviewing in mid-April, and if I interview them in mid-April and tell them that they're going to have to come to court and try a case in June and July, we're in a much different posture than we would be if we're telling the potential jurors that we're going to start the trial in April. So it creates a complication.

But I think that my sense is that all of the defendants can be ready to go to trial. The defendant with the greatest difficulty will be Mr. Raniere because there are additional charges of significance against him in the second superseding indictment, but I have Mr. Agnifilo's clear declaration that he and his client will be ready to go to trial. And I also have Mr. Geragos, who is a recent addition to Ms. Bronfman's trial team, and he's ready to go to trial.

2.2

			4000		
1			DISTRICT COURT CT OF NEW YORK		
2		Χ			
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	:	18-CR-00204(NGG)		
	Plaintiff ,	:			
5	-against-	:	United States Courthouse Brooklyn, New York		
6 7	KEITH RANIERE, et al.,	:	June 13, 2019, Thursday		
	Defendant.	:	9:30 a.m.		
8		Χ			
9			OF TRIAL		
10	BEFORE THE HONORABLE NICHOLAS G. GARAUFIS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE, and a jury				
11	APPEARANCES:		- ,		
12	For the Government:	RTC	HARD P. DONOGHUE		
13	Tor end dovornment	Uni	ted States Attorney MOIRA K. PENZA, ESQ.		
14		υι.	TANYA HAJJAR, ESQ. MARK LESKO, ESQ.		
15			istant United States Attorneys		
16			Cadman Plaza East oklyn, New York 11201		
17	For the Defendant:		FMAN & ASSOCIATES, P.C.		
18		New	Third Avenue York, New York 10017		
19		BY:	MARC A. AGNIFILO, ESQ. TENY ROSE GERAGOS, ESQ.		
20		DER	OHANNESIAN & DEROHANNESIAN		
21			Broadway any, New York 12207		
22			PAUL DerOHANNESIAN, II, ESQ. DANIELLE R. SMITH, ESQ.		
23			,		
24	Court Reporter: Stacy A. M	lace,	RMR, CRR, RPR, CCR		
	Official Court Reporter E-mail: SMaceRPR@gmail.com				
25	Proceedings recorded by computerized stenography. Transcript produced by Computer-aided Transcription.				

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q For example?
- 3 A Well, from moving computers across from one computer to
- 4 | another, if you've got a file system that is a very, what we
- 5 call a young file system, like something we use with floppy
- 6 disks, they don't actually hold on to all that data because
- 7 | newer file systems can hold modified data, access data, much
- 8 differently.
- 9 So sometimes when you move the files across, a
- 10 | creation date would be made, but a last access date wouldn't
- 11 be created completely. You'd only have a date. So in some
- 12 ways you can actually modify dates and times as you move
- 13 | across different file systems.
- 14 Q And that's not something you're able to determine without
- 15 examining the computers along the way?
- 16 A Not unless we have them in our hand, no.
- 17 Q So, for example, in this case, we know there was a Dell
- 18 Dimension computer?
- 19 A I don't know that, but if you're telling me.
- 20 Q Your analysis reflected a path that said Dell Dimension?
- 21 A Yes, I do.
- 22 | Q And you said there were two other computers?
- 23 A Two other file paths that indicated other computers.
- 24 Q Like an Apple?
- 25 A Like an Apple computer, yes.

Booth - cross - der Ohannesian

- 1 | Q What was the third?
- 2 A It was another Dell computer.
- 3 Q And then you mentioned last access date?
- 4 A Yes, I did.
- 5 Q And last access date can have many meanings also;
- 6 | correct?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q It can mean literally the last time someone accessed it;
- 9 correct?
- 10 A Correct.
- 11 | Q And it can also mean the last time it was copied?
- 12 A A last access date can be moved across one computer to
- 13 | another, but the access date, if they're like devices, would
- 14 stay. So unless you've actually opened up an item and looked
- 15 | at it, it wouldn't change the last access date.
- 16 | Q So last access doesn't even mean last view?
- 17 A In some cases, software will change the last access date
- 18 | if you've opened it up. But it's really software dependant.
- 19 Q So last access date does not necessarily mean last
- 20 | viewed?
- 21 A Correct.
- 22 | Q But if a file is viewed, it would be reflected in an
- 23 | access date?
- 24 A If it's opened up?
- 25 Q Yes.

- 1 A Depending on what piece of software.
- 2 Q And in terms of file folders, files can be moved from one
- 3 | folder to another; correct?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q And you don't have that information when you examine a
- 6 | hard drive such as this, whether files were moved or not?
- 7 A Well, between devices, I do not.
- 8 Q Or even when it was on a Dell Dimension, Dell computer or
- 9 Apple computer?
- 10 A No. I do not.
- 11 | Q Does DOS script also impact the file names?
- 12 A Does what? Excuse me?
- 13 Q DOS. D-0-S script?
- 14 A I don't know what you're referring to.
- 15 | Q Your examinations were at different times: correct?
- 16 A As far as my two examinations here were at two different
- 17 | times, yes.
- 18 | Q With respect to the images that you testified about this
- 19 | morning, Government Exhibits 518, A through U, they're also
- 20 reflected in your report.
- 21 You understand my question?
- 22 | A As far as looking at the actual items themselves?
- 23 Q Let me try to break it down then.
- 24 So Government Exhibit 518-A through U are like
- 25 | images, printed images?

Booth - cross - der Ohannesian

- 1 A Okay, yes.
- 2 Q In addition, you have a report that talks about those
- 3 | images and the data for those images; correct?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q And some of those were reviewed with you on direct
- 6 examination by the prosecutor; correct?
- 7 A Correct.
- 8 | Q Do you know, without looking at your report, what the
- 9 | file creation date is for each and every one of Government
- 10 | Exhibit 518-A through U?
- 11 A No, I'd have to see the report itself.
- 12 Q Then we can do that.
- 13 MR. der OHANNESIAN: This is Government
- 14 | Exhibit 504-A. I'm going to put it on the screen.
- 15 (Exhibit published.)
- 16 Q Starting with -- first of all, can you read it?
- 17 A The highlighted item?
- 18 Q Yes, .jpg 150?
- 19 A Yes, I can.
- 20 Would you like me to read it out loud?
- 21 | Q Does that help you recall the file creation date for .jpg
- 22 | 150?
- 23 A Yes, it does.
- 24 Q July 26th, 2003?
- 25 A Correct.

```
Booth - cross - der Ohannesian
```

- 1 Q 151, file creation date.
- 2 A Correct.
- 3 Q Same?
- 4 A 2003.
- 5 | Q .jpg 152?
- 6 A Correct.
- 7 Q July 2003.
- 8 | .jpg 153, July 2003?
- 9 A Correct.
- 10 | Q .jpg 154, creation date of July 2003?
- 11 | A Yes.
- 12 | Q .jpg 155, creation date of July 26th, 2003?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q .jpg 156, creation date of July 2003?
- 15 A Correct.
- 16 | Q .jpg 160, a creation date of July 26th, 2003?
- 17 A Correct.
- 18 | Q .jpg 161, creation date of July 26th, 2003?
- 19 A Correct.
- 20 | Q .jpg 162, creation date of July 26th, 2003?
- 21 A Correct.
- 22 | Q And .jpg 163, a creation date of July 26th, 2003?
- 23 A Correct.
- 24 | Q So with respect to .jpg images 150 to 163, they were all
- 25 created, according to your forensic examination, on July 26th,

0CR

- 1 | 2003; correct?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q And in terms of the times, going back, we'll go
- 4 | backwards. That date, 2:05:16, 2:05:16, 2:05:15,
- 5 | 2:05:15, 2:05:14, 2:05:14, 2:05:14, 2:05:13, 2:05:13?
- 6 A Correct.
- 7 Q 2:05:12, 2:05:12, 2:05:12, and 2:05:11.
- 8 So on those, the first set of .jpg images, there was
- 9 | a creation date of July 26th, 2013?
- 10 A Correct.
- 11 | Q Now, with respect to .jpgs 184 to 191, which you talked
- 12 | about this morning and yesterday, which were also contained in
- 13 | Exhibit 518, do you know what the file creation date was for
- 14 | those messages?
- 15 A I recall, I thought they were 2003, also.
- 16 Q Would you know for sure if you looked at --
- 17 A The report would show it.
- 18 Q The one we were just looking at?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q So this is Exhibit 504-A. This is the second set of
- 21 | images that you testified about with the prosecution. .jpg
- 22 | 184 creation date, July 26th, 2003, 2:05 p.m.?
- 23 | 185, July 26th, 2003?
- 24 A Correct.
- 25 Q 205.

Booth - cross - der Ohannesian

- 1 .jpg 186, creation date, July 26th, 2003, 2:05 p.m.
- 2 .jpg 187, file creation, July 26th, 2003, 2:05 p.m.
- 3 .jpg 188, file creation, July 26th, 2003, 2:05 p.m.
- 4 .jpg 189, July 26th, 2003, 2:05 p.m.
- 5 .jpg 190, July 26th, 2003, 2:05 p.m.
- And the last in this series from 184 to 191, file
- 7 | creation date of July 26th, 2003, at 2:05 p.m.?
- 8 A Correct.
- 9 Q So looking at that, it's fair to say that for images 184
- 10 to 191, they have the same file creation date as .jpg images
- 11 | 150 to 163.
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 | Q And in your opinion, is the metadata that was generated
- 14 | with respect to file creation reliable?
- 15 A No.
- 16 Q Is it possible these images were created in 2004?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q Is it possible they were created in 2005?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 | Q Is it possible they were created in 2006?
- 21 A You can keep going on what dates. I don't know.
- 22 | Q Right. It could be 2008?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 | Q It could be 2010?
- 25 A Correct.

- 1 Q Because the file creation metadata is not reliable in
- 2 this case, according to you; correct?
- 3 A The file -- the file system metadata for those dates and
- 4 | times are not accurate.
- 5 Q That issue has nothing to do with how you may have
- 6 | conducted your examination; is that correct?
- 7 A Correct.
- 8 Q And these images which form the basis of the photographs
- 9 | which were displayed today, also had modified dates on them?
- 10 A Yes, they did.
- 11 | Q And do you remember what the modified date was for .jpg
- 12 | images 150 to 163?
- 13 A If I recall, it came back to a 2005 date.
- 14 Q Okay. And with respect to .jpg images 184 and 195, do
- 15 | you recall what the file modified date was?
- 16 A I think they call came back to 2005.
- 17 Q And then did you determine in your analysis file access
- 18 dates?
- 19 A No, I did not.

21 (Continued on following page.)

22

23

24

- 1 A Which -- which metadata are you specifically --
- 2 Q The date accessed.
- 3 A You're talking from the file system?
- 4 Q I'm talking about the data that we just looked at.
- 5 A That file system data appears to have been changed.
- 6 Q When we say "changed," it was changed while it was in the
- 7 possession of the FBI?
- 8 A If I'm taking the dates on when we received it, it would
- 9 appear so.
- 10 Q And the dates that you received just now came from your
- 11 | report, correct?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 | Q That you generated as evidence in the case against --
- 14 United States against Keith Raniere, correct?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q The goal of forensic examination is to preserve the
- 17 | electronic data, so that the metadata doesn't change, correct?
- 18 A Correct.
- 19 | Q Do you know the name of the person who accessed the SD or
- 20 | media card, Exhibit 524, on September 19, 2018?
- 21 A No, I do not.
- 22 | Q Do you have any record in any piece of evidence or notes
- 23 | that reflects the accessing of Government Exhibit 524 by any
- 24 | representative of the FBI on September 19, 2018?
- 25 A No, I do not.

- 1 Q Based on your forensic examination of the Western Digital
- 2 drive, or Government Exhibit 524, do you have any digital
- 3 | evidence that Keith Raniere knew the content of any of the
- 4 | electronic images on either Government Exhibit 503 or 524?
- 5 A I don't know Mr. Raniere, so I would never know what he
- 6 knows.
- 7 Q Do you have any -- based on your forensic examination, do
- 8 you have any digital evidence as to the identity of any
- 9 | individual who at any time may have accessed any information
- 10 on the Western Digital hard drive?
- 11 A I do not know.
- 12 Q Based on your forensic examination, do you have any
- 13 digital evidence of the identity of any individual who may
- 14 | have accessed any electronic information on Government
- 15 | Exhibit 524?
- 16 A I don't know who had access to this.
- 17 | Q And based on your forensic examination of Government
- 18 | Exhibit 503 or 504, do you know the identity of any person who
- 19 | took any particular image about which you have testified
- 20 before this grand jury -- before this jury?
- 21 A I just know people's pictures that are in the images,
- 22 | that's it.
- 23 | Q You don't know the identity of any person who would have
- 24 been behind the camera at any particular time --
- 25 A No.

```
Proceedings
                                                                 4975
         -- fair to say?
 1
    Q
 2
    Α
         Fair.
               MR. der OHANNESIAN: Nothing else, Your Honor.
 3
    Thank you.
 4
 5
               (Continued on the following page.)
 6
7
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

EXHIBIT-B022 SAM OCR RMR CRR RPR